

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,156	12/27/2000	Stefan Jung	34648-00435USPT	8694	
7590 12/22/2004			EXAMINER		
Richard J. Moura			LIPMAN, JACOB		
Jenkens & Gilo 3200 Fountain	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1445 Ross Ave	nue	2134			
Dallas, TX 7	5202-2799	DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/751,15	6	JUNG, STEFAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jacob Lip		2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE i - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication: period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statutiod will apply and will atute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 00	6 October 200	<u>4</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor			*			
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)	,						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Comer, in Internetworking with TCP/IP Volume 1 Principals, Protocols, and Architecture.

With regard to claims 1 and 9, Comer discloses a method of synchronizing data in an IP network (pages 203-205) including, generating a sequence number associated with each packet (page 204) and transmitting the sequence number with the data packet (page 205). Comer further discloses that the packet can be encrypted (pages 475-476).

With regard to claim 10, Comer discloses that the receiving sequence number is extracted from the packet (page 217).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-8 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menezes et al., in <u>Handbook of Applied Cryptography</u>, in view of Comer.

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claims 2 and 11, Comer discloses the method of claim 1, as outlined above, but does not mention decrypting the packet based on the sequence. Menezes discloses decrypting packets based on their sequence (page 193). Menezes further discloses that using stream ciphers is advantageous in telecommunications applications (page 191). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a stream cipher in a TCP/IP environment for Menezes' disclosed motivation.

With regard to claims 3 and 12, Comer discloses that error reporting is common in TCP/IP (pages 123-137).

With regard to claims 4-6, 13-15, and 18, Comer discloses that in the event of an error, it is common to retransmit packets (page 209).

With regard to claims 7, 8, 16, and 17, the examiner takes official notice that if jittering occurs, and sequence numbers might be confused, it is well known in the art to adjust the length of the number. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the length of the number to compensate for such jittering.

### Double Patenting

5. Applicant is advised that should claim 15 be found allowable, claim 18 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

#### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2134

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/06/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that Comer does not disclose encrypting data packets, the examiner points to pages 204 where Comer discloses that information in TCP/IP is sent in segments, and to pages 475-476 where Comer discloses encrypting TCP/IP communication.

With regard to Applicant's argument that the sequence number is not associated with the data packet, the examiner again points to page 204 in Comer. Comer discloses that each segment has a header, including a sequence number. This number is associated with the segment.

With regard to applicant's argument that 200 pages separating the disclosures, the examiner points out that the entire book describes TCP/IP communication.

With regard to applicant's argument that Menezes does not teach sequence numbers, the examiner points out that this is a 103 rejection in view of Comer who does teach sequence numbers. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a stream cipher in a TCP/IP environment for Menezes' disclosed motivation.

With regard to applicant's request for a reference that discloses that it is well known in the art and obvious to adjust the length of the number to compensate for such jittering, the examiner has included Kline et al., US Patent number 6,157,653 (column 6 lines 40-57).

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2134

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,156

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY FACTOR CYAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100